

The California Workers' Compensation QME Process for Unrepresented Injured Workers: A Legal Analysis

(PART-A INJURED WORKERS ANALYSIS)

March 1, 2026

The information provided through this AI-powered Analysis is for **general informational and educational purposes only**. It is **not legal advice**, does **not create an attorney-client relationship**, and should not be relied upon as a substitute for advice from a qualified attorney. Laws and legal outcomes vary based on specific facts and jurisdiction. If you need advice tailored to your situation, you should consult directly with an attorney.

THE CALIFORNIA WORKERS' COMPENSATION QME PROCESS FOR UNREPRESENTED INJURED WORKERS

If you were hurt at work and do not have a lawyer, this report explains one of the most important steps in your workers' compensation case: the Qualified Medical Evaluator (QME) process. A QME is a doctor approved by the state of California to examine you and write a medical report about your injury. That report often decides whether you receive benefits, how much money you get for a permanent disability, and whether your future medical care will be approved.

This report covers the laws that apply to you as an unrepresented worker (a worker without an attorney), the deadlines you must meet, how to choose a doctor from the panel list, how to prepare for your evaluation, and what to do if you disagree with the report. Missing deadlines or making uninformed choices during this process can permanently reduce your benefits.

Critical: If you have received a QME panel form, seek legal advice immediately—before you select a doctor or respond to any paperwork. Many decisions in this process cannot be undone.

Part 1: What Is a QME and Why Does It Matter?

Understanding the QME

A Qualified Medical Evaluator (QME) is a doctor licensed in California and appointed by the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC). The QME's job is to examine you and write a medical-legal report about your work injury. This definition comes from Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 1(z) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/1.html>).

To become a QME, a doctor must pass a test that covers California workers' compensation law, how to evaluate disabilities, and how to write medical-legal reports. The QME Competency Examination Information Booklet (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/qmeinformatonbooklet.pdf>) describes what these doctors must know.

Why the QME Report Is So Important

The QME report is the single most influential document in most workers' compensation cases. The report typically decides:

- Whether your injury is work-related (called compensability)
- Your level of permanent disability (a rating that determines how much money you receive)
- Whether you need future medical treatment
- Your work restrictions (limits on what you can do at your job)

In practice, most cases settle or are decided based on what the QME writes. If the report is unfavorable, it is very difficult to overcome later—even if you hire a lawyer after the fact.

Unrepresented vs. Represented Workers

California law creates two separate QME procedures depending on whether you have an attorney:

- Unrepresented workers (no attorney): Your process follows Cal. Lab. Code § 4062.1 (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74062-1-panel-qme-process-unrepresented-workers/>). You receive a list of three randomly selected QME doctors and must pick one within ten days.
- Represented workers (have an attorney): Their process follows Cal. Lab. Code § 4062.2 (<https://www.sullivanattorneys.com/blog/request-replacement-panel-pursuant-romero>). Each side strikes (removes) one doctor from the panel, leaving one agreed-upon evaluator.

Important: As an unrepresented worker, you cannot remove a doctor from the panel who may be unfavorable to your case. A represented worker can. This is one reason why having an attorney—even for a brief consultation—can make a significant difference in your outcome.

Part 2: The Legal Framework

Statutes That Govern Your QME Process

The QME process for unrepresented workers is controlled by several California laws. The main statute is Cal. Lab. Code § 4062.1 (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74062-1-panel-qme-process-unrepresented-workers/>), which applies to injuries that occurred on or after January 1, 2005. This law covers three types of disputes:

- Compensability disputes under Cal. Lab. Code § 4060 (<https://www.pi.law/blog/what-is-a-qme-in-california-workers-compensation-and-how-it-can-make-or-break-your-case/>): disagreements about whether your injury is work-related
- Permanent disability disputes under Cal. Lab. Code § 4061 (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74061-permanent-disability-disputes-workers-comp/>): disagreements about your disability rating
- Other medical disputes under Cal. Lab. Code § 4062 (<https://www.pi.law/blog/what-is-a-qme-in-california-workers-compensation-and-how-it-can-make-or-break-your-case/>): disagreements about treatment necessity, whether you have reached Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI) (the point where your condition is not expected to improve further), or work restrictions

Regulations That Set the Detailed Rules

The detailed rules for the QME process are in Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations. The most important sections include:

- Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 30 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/30.html>): How to request a QME panel
- Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.3 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html): How to schedule your appointment
- Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.5 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_5.html): How to request a replacement panel
- Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 35 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>): Rules for exchanging medical records and restrictions on one-sided communication with the QME
- Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 36 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>): How the QME report is delivered to you
- Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 38 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/38.html>): Deadlines for the QME to finish the report

What Triggers the QME Process

A QME panel is requested when there is a disagreement about a medical question in your case. The claims administrator (the insurance company handling your employer's workers' compensation claim) usually triggers the process by denying your claim or disagreeing with your treating doctor's findings.

When the claims administrator requests a panel for you, they must use QME Form 105 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105.pdf>). This form must include your claim number, date of injury, the reason for the dispute, and your personal information. For compensability disputes, the administrator must attach the denial letter. For permanent disability or other disputes, they must attach a written objection identifying the treating doctor and the specific medical issue in question, as required by Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 30 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/30.html>).

Part 3: Receiving and Responding to the QME Panel

How the Panel Is Formed

After the DWC Medical Unit receives a completed Form 105, it must issue a panel of three randomly selected QME doctors within twenty working days. The panel arrives on QME Form 107 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform107.pdf>), which lists each doctor's name, address, specialty, years of experience, and education. The panel is generated through a random selection process described in Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 107 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/107.html>).

The Ten-Day Deadline to Choose a Doctor

Once you receive the panel list, you have ten days to pick one of the three doctors and schedule an appointment. This deadline is printed on the panel form and is strictly enforced under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.3 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html). You must also tell the claims administrator which doctor you chose and the appointment date within those ten days.

Critical: If you do not pick a doctor within ten days, the claims administrator picks for you. They will typically choose the doctor they believe is most favorable to the employer's position. You cannot undo this selection.

How Specialty Selection Works

The medical specialty (the type of doctor) determines how your injury will be evaluated. For example, an orthopedic surgeon focuses on bones and joints, while a physiatrist (rehabilitation medicine specialist) focuses on function, pain, and recovery. A pain medicine specialist may evaluate your condition differently than a general practitioner.

The specialty codes are listed on QME Form 105 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105.pdf>) and include approximately 50 options. The party who requests the panel first generally chooses the specialty. If you let the claims administrator request the panel, they choose the specialty, as explained in the QME Form 105 Instructions (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105-instructions.pdf>).

Important: If the claims administrator sends you a Form 105 and you want a different specialty, you must submit your own Form 105 to the DWC Medical Unit before the ten-day window closes. Acting quickly protects your right to choose the type of doctor who evaluates you.

Scheduling the Appointment

After you select a doctor from the panel, contact their office to schedule your evaluation. Under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.3 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html):

- The QME must schedule your appointment within ninety days of your request
- If the QME cannot schedule within ninety days, either party may agree to extend the deadline to 120 days
- If the QME cannot schedule within 120 days, either party may request a replacement panel under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.5 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_5.html)
- The QME must accept the appointment regardless of whether payment has been arranged—you do not pay for this evaluation

Part 4: Medical Records and Communication Rules

Exchanging Medical Records with the QME

The rules for sending medical records to the QME are found in Cal. Lab. Code § 4062.3 (<https://www.rjylaw.com/labor-code-section-4062-3-information-vs-communication/>) and Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 35 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>). Either you or the claims administrator may provide the QME with:

- Records from your primary treating physician (the main doctor managing your injury)
- Other medical records related to the dispute (imaging, lab results, therapy notes)
- A letter explaining what medical question is being disputed
- Non-medical records such as surveillance videos, supervisor statements, or photographs

The Twenty-Day Rule for Records

The claims administrator must give you copies of all records they plan to send to the QME at least twenty days before sending them. You then have ten days to object to any non-medical records. If you object, those records cannot go to the QME unless a Workers' Compensation Administrative Law Judge (WCALJ) (a judge who decides workers' compensation disputes) orders it, per Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 35 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>).

Important: Insurance companies sometimes try to send surveillance videos or negative statements from supervisors to the QME. Review every document the claims administrator sends you. If non-medical records seem unfair or irrelevant, object in writing within ten days.

However, if you schedule your QME appointment within twenty days of receiving the panel, the claims administrator does not need to give you medical records twenty days in advance—only non-medical records still require the twenty-day notice. This means scheduling too quickly could prevent you from reviewing all the materials.

Prohibition on One-Sided Communication (Ex Parte Rules)

Ex parte communication means one-sided contact—talking to the QME without the other party knowing. This is strictly prohibited under Cal. Lab. Code § 4062.3(e) (<https://www.rjylaw.com/labor-code-section-4062-3-information-vs-communication/>) and Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 35(b) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>).

The rules are:

- All communication with the QME before and after the evaluation must be in writing and a copy must be sent to the other party at the same time
- You may speak to the QME's office staff about scheduling, missed appointments, or sending records—these are considered non-substantive matters
- You must not discuss your medical condition, your case, or your injury with the QME by phone or in person outside of the formal evaluation

If you or the claims administrator violate these rules, it could result in the QME being disqualified and a new panel being issued, as discussed in analysis by Siegel Moreno LLP (<https://siegelmoreno.com/what-constitutes-improper-ex-parte-communication-with-a-medical-legal-evaluator/>).

Part 5: The QME Evaluation and Report

What Happens at the Evaluation

The QME evaluation is a medical examination that typically lasts one to three hours. The doctor will review your medical records, ask you detailed questions about your injury and symptoms, and perform a physical examination. Under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 40 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/QUALITY-ASSURANCE-CHECKLIST.docx>), you have the right to ask the evaluator questions about the process.

If you speak limited English, you have the right to a state-certified interpreter at no cost to you. The claims administrator pays for interpreter services, as noted in the DWC FAQs on QMEs (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/faqphys.html>). Request interpreter services when you schedule your appointment.

Receiving the QME Report

The QME must complete and deliver the report within thirty days of your evaluation, per Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 38 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/38.html>). The report is sent to both you and the claims administrator. For unrepresented workers, the QME uses QME Form 111 (QME Findings Summary Form) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>).

If the report addresses permanent impairment (lasting physical damage) or permanent disability (how the impairment affects your ability to work and earn money), the QME must also send:

- The evaluation report with a separator sheet
- A completed QME Form 111
- A request to the Disability Evaluation Unit (DEU) for a summary rating using DWC-AD Form 101 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/deu.html>)

The DEU (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/deu.html>) then applies the Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/pdr.pdf>) to convert the QME's Whole Person Impairment (WPI) rating into a final permanent disability percentage, adjusted for your age, occupation, and reduced future earning capacity.

Requesting Factual Corrections

If the QME report contains factual errors, you may request corrections under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 37 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>). A factual correction fixes wrong statements of fact—not medical opinions. The error must be provable from the written records the QME received. Your request must be in writing, and you must send a copy to the claims administrator.

Examples of correctable errors:

- The QME wrote your age incorrectly
- The report says you did not have physical therapy when your records show you did

- The report says your MRI was normal when the MRI report documents a herniated disc

Part 6: Preparing for Your QME Evaluation

Gathering Your Medical Records

Before the evaluation, collect all medical records related to your injury. According to guidance from Katnik Law (<https://katniklaw.com/the-role-of-medical-evidence-in-workers-compensation-cases/>), the completeness of records directly affects the quality of the QME's report. You should gather:

- Treatment records from your primary treating physician
- Specialist records (orthopedist, neurologist, etc.)
- Imaging studies (MRI, CT scan, X-ray reports)
- Physical therapy records
- Laboratory results
- Prior medical evaluations or reports

Organize records in date order and remove duplicates. Create a simple timeline of key events:

1. Date and description of your workplace injury
2. Initial medical treatment and diagnosis
3. Key diagnostic tests and results
4. Treatments received and their outcomes
5. Current symptoms and limitations

How to Communicate During the Evaluation

During the appointment, be honest, specific, and consistent. The QME is trained to assess your credibility and will compare what you say to what your medical records show, as described in the QME Competency Examination Information Booklet (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/qmeinformationbooklet.pdf>).

- Do not minimize your symptoms to appear tough
- Do not exaggerate symptoms beyond what your records support
- Give specific examples of your limitations rather than general statements

For example, instead of saying "my back hurts," say: "I cannot sit for more than 20 minutes without sharp pain going down my right leg, and I cannot lift anything over 10 pounds without pain lasting several days."

Documenting Your Daily Limitations

In the weeks before your evaluation, keep a daily journal noting how your injury affects your life. Write down difficulties with walking, standing, lifting, reaching, sitting, sleeping, and household tasks. This written record helps you give specific, consistent answers during the evaluation.

Part 7: Challenging an Unfavorable QME Report

Requesting a Supplemental Report

If new medical information becomes available after the evaluation, you may request a supplemental report from the QME. Under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 38 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/38.html>), the request must be in writing and sent to the claims administrator. The QME has sixty days to complete the supplemental report, with a possible thirty-day extension.

However, for unrepresented workers, a supplemental report addressing permanent impairment, permanent disability, or apportionment (dividing your disability between work-related and non-work-related causes) cannot be requested until the DEU has issued its initial summary rating, per Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 36 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>).

Disputing the Report at a Hearing

If you believe the QME report is seriously flawed, you may file a dispute with the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board (WCAB) and request a hearing before a WCALJ. At the hearing, you may cross-examine the QME about the report's conclusions. You should prepare specific questions that expose weaknesses, such as records the QME did not review or conclusions that contradict the medical evidence.

You also have the right to file a Petition for Reconsideration under Cal. Lab. Code § 5900 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/wcab/wcabpetitionforreconsideration.htm>) if you believe the WCAB's decision was wrong. Grounds include evidence that does not support the findings, newly discovered evidence, or actions beyond the Board's authority. More information is available from Bradford Barthel LLP (https://bradfordbarthel.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/20150414Recons_WritsPP.pdf).

Part 8: Alternative Options and Additional Benefits

Option A: Agreed Medical Evaluator (AME)

An Agreed Medical Evaluator (AME) is a doctor that both you and the claims administrator agree to use instead of the QME panel. As explained by Employees First Labor Law (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/qme-vs-ame-in-california-workers-comp-whats-the-difference/>), the advantage is that both sides have input in choosing the doctor, which may produce a more balanced report. The disadvantage is that the claims administrator must agree, and most prefer the QME panel process.

Option B: Getting a Lawyer

If the QME process feels overwhelming, you may hire an attorney at any point. Many workers' compensation attorneys offer free consultations and work on a contingency basis (they get paid only if you receive benefits). If you hire a lawyer after a QME panel was issued but before the evaluation, the attorney may be able to request a replacement panel under the holding in *Romero v. Costco Wholesale*, as discussed in *Sullivan On Comp* (<https://www.sullivanattorneys.com/blog/request-replacement-panel-pursuant-romero>).

Option C: Independent Medical Review (IMR)

If your dispute is specifically about whether a proposed medical treatment is necessary—rather than about causation or disability rating—the Independent Medical Review (IMR) process may apply. The DWC IMR program (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/imr.htm>) is a separate process from the QME and is the primary way to challenge treatment denials based on utilization review (the insurance company's process for deciding whether to approve treatment).

Option D: Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits

If you have a permanent partial disability and cannot return to your old job, you may be eligible for Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits (SJDB). This benefit provides a voucher you can use for education, job retraining, or professional licensing fees. Learn more from the DWC SJDB page (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/sjdb.html>) or State Compensation Insurance Fund (<https://www.statefundca.com/injured-worker/retraining-benefit/>).

Part 9: Risks and Warnings for Unrepresented Workers

Missed Deadlines Can Permanently Harm Your Case

The QME process has strict deadlines. Under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.3 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html), missing the ten-day window to select a doctor means the claims administrator chooses for you—typically selecting a doctor they consider favorable to the employer.

Critical: You cannot request a new panel simply because you missed the deadline. The selection is final.

The QME Report Effectively Decides Your Case

Although the QME report is technically "evidence," in practice it functions as the deciding factor in most cases. If you fail to communicate your condition clearly, or if the QME does not receive your complete medical records, the report may permanently undervalue your disability. As noted by Katnik Law

(<https://katniklaw.com/the-role-of-medical-evidence-in-workers-compensation-cases/>), overcoming an unfavorable QME report later is difficult and expensive.

Settlement Risks

Many unrepresented workers settle their case based on a single QME report without understanding the consequences. California workers' compensation cases can be settled in two ways, as explained by Employees First Labor Law (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-do-i-settle-my-workers-comp-case-cr-vs-stipulated-award/>):

- **Compromise and Release (C&R):** You receive a lump sum, but you give up all future rights including medical care. This is final and cannot be reopened, as confirmed by the DWC (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/CaseResolved.htm>).
- **Stipulated Award:** You receive ongoing payments and future medical care remains open for life. The case can be reopened within five years if your condition worsens, as discussed by Robert Wood Law (<https://robertwoodlaw.com/can-a-workers-comp-case-be-reopened/>).

Critical: Do not sign a C&R settlement without consulting an attorney, even briefly. If your condition gets worse after you sign, you will have no right to additional benefits or medical care.

Impact on Other Benefits

An unfavorable QME report can also hurt claims outside workers' compensation. If the QME says your injury is not work-related, that finding could undermine applications for Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) or State Disability Insurance (SDI), and could be used by your employer in an employment dispute.

Part 10: Free Resources and How to Get Help

DWC Information and Assistance Unit

The DWC Information and Assistance (I&A) Unit (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/ianda.html>) provides free help to injured workers. Staff can answer questions about the QME process, explain forms, and discuss your rights. You can reach them at:

- Phone: 1-800-736-7401
- San Francisco Office: 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 2nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102
- Website: www.dir.ca.gov/dwc (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/>)

Summary of Key Recommendations

For unrepresented injured workers, the most important steps are:

1. Understand immediately that a dispute exists and a QME panel has been or will be requested
2. If the claims administrator initiated the request, decide within ten days whether to request your preferred specialty
3. Select a medical specialty that matches your injury (e.g., physiatrist or pain medicine for chronic pain rather than general practice)
4. Gather all your medical records and organize them by date
5. Prepare thoroughly for the QME evaluation by documenting your daily limitations
6. Do not miss any deadlines
7. Get at least a brief legal consultation before settling your case based on the QME report

Important: Unrepresented workers who receive a QME Form 105 or panel notice should contact an attorney immediately, even for a brief consultation, to make sure their rights are protected.

References

1. Request for Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Form 105 - California Division of Workers' Compensation. <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105.pdf> (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105.pdf>)

2. QME Form 105 Instructions: How to Request a Qualified Medical Evaluator if You Don't Have an Attorney - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105-instructions.pdf>
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105-instructions.pdf>)
3. QME Competency Examination Information Booklet - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/qmeinformationbooklet.pdf>
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/qmeinformationbooklet.pdf>)
4. Labor Code § 4061: Permanent Disability Disputes Workers' Comp - Employees First Labor Law.
<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74061-permanent-disability-disputes-workers-comp/> (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74061-permanent-disability-disputes-workers-comp/>)
5. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.3 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html) - Scheduling Appointment with Panel QME - California Department of Industrial Relations.
6. QME vs. AME in California Workers' Comp: What's the Difference? - Employees First Labor Law.
<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/qme-vs-ame-in-california-workers-comp-whats-the-difference/>
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/qme-vs-ame-in-california-workers-comp-whats-the-difference/>)
7. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 108 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/108.html>) - The Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Instruction Form - California Department of Industrial Relations.
8. Cal. Lab. Code § 4062.1 (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74062-1-panel-qme-process-unrepresented-workers/>) - Panel QME Process for Unrepresented Workers - Employees First Labor Law.
9. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 1 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/1.html>) - Definitions (including QME) - California Department of Industrial Relations.
10. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 36 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>) - Service of Comprehensive Medical-Legal Evaluation Reports - California Department of Industrial Relations.
11. DWC Quality Assurance Checklist - § 40 Disclosure Requirements - California Division of Workers' Compensation. <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/QUALITY-ASSURANCE-CHECKLIST.docx>
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/QUALITY-ASSURANCE-CHECKLIST.docx>)
12. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 107 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/107.html>) - The Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Form - California Department of Industrial Relations.
13. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 38 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/38.html>) - Medical Evaluation Time Frames; Extensions for QMEs and AMEs - California Department of Industrial Relations.
14. How to Dispute a Biased QME or AME Report in California Workers' Comp - Employees First Labor Law. <https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-to-dispute-a-biased-qme-or-ame-report-in-california-workers-comp/> (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-to-dispute-a-biased-qme-or-ame-report-in-california-workers-comp/>)
15. Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Form 107 - California Division of Workers' Compensation. <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform107.pdf>
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform107.pdf>)
16. What Is a QME in California Workers' Compensation? - PI Law. <https://www.pi.law/blog/what-is-a-qme-in-california-workers-compensation-and-how-it-can-make-or-break-your-case/>
(<https://www.pi.law/blog/what-is-a-qme-in-california-workers-compensation-and-how-it-can-make-or-break-your-case/>)
17. Request for Replacement Panel Pursuant to Romero - Sullivan On Comp.
<https://www.sullivanattorneys.com/blog/request-replacement-panel-pursuant-romero>
(<https://www.sullivanattorneys.com/blog/request-replacement-panel-pursuant-romero>)
18. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 30 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/30.html>) - QME Panel Requests - California Department of Industrial Relations.
19. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 35 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>) - Exchange of Information and Ex Parte Communications - California Department of Industrial Relations.
20. What Constitutes Improper Ex Parte Communication with a Medical-Legal Evaluator - Siegel Moreno LLP. <https://siegelmoreno.com/what-constitutes-improper-ex-parte-communication-with-a-medical-legal-evaluator/> (<https://siegelmoreno.com/what-constitutes-improper-ex-parte-communication-with-a-medical-legal-evaluator/>)
21. Labor Code Section 4062.3: Information vs. Communication - RJY Law.
<https://www.rjylaw.com/labor-code-section-4062-3-information-vs-communication/>
(<https://www.rjylaw.com/labor-code-section-4062-3-information-vs-communication/>)
22. Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/pdr.pdf>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.

23. Independent Medical Review (IMR) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/imr.htm>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
24. DWC FAQs on QMEs for Physicians - California Division of Workers' Compensation. <https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/faqphys.html> (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/faqphys.html>)
25. Disability Evaluation Unit (DEU) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/deu.html>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
26. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 31.5 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_5.html) - QME Replacement Requests - California Department of Industrial Relations.
27. DWC Information and Assistance Unit (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/ianda.html>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
28. A Recommended Approach to Romero, LC 4062.1 and 4062.2 - California Applicant Attorneys Association. <https://calawyers.org/workers-compensation/a-recommended-approach-to-romero-lc-4062-1-and-4062-2/> (<https://calawyers.org/workers-compensation/a-recommended-approach-to-romero-lc-4062-1-and-4062-2/>)
29. The Role of Medical Evidence in Workers' Compensation Cases - Katnik Law. <https://katniklaw.com/the-role-of-medical-evidence-in-workers-compensation-cases/> (<https://katniklaw.com/the-role-of-medical-evidence-in-workers-compensation-cases/>)
30. How Do I Settle My Workers' Comp Case? C&R & Stipulated Award - Employees First Labor Law. <https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-do-i-settle-my-workers-comp-case-cr-vs-stipulated-award/> (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-do-i-settle-my-workers-comp-case-cr-vs-stipulated-award/>)
31. How Is My Case Resolved (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/CaseResolved.htm>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
32. Can a Workers' Comp Case Be Reopened? - Robert Wood Law. <https://robertwoodlaw.com/can-a-workers-comp-case-be-reopened/> (<https://robertwoodlaw.com/can-a-workers-comp-case-be-reopened/>)
33. Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/sjdb.html>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
34. Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits - State Compensation Insurance Fund. <https://www.statefundca.com/injured-worker/retraining-benefit/> (<https://www.statefundca.com/injured-worker/retraining-benefit/>)
35. Petitions for Reconsideration - Workers' Compensation Appeals Board. <https://www.dir.ca.gov/wcab/wcabpetitionforreconsideration.htm> (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/wcab/wcabpetitionforreconsideration.htm>)
36. Recons & Writs (Petition for Reconsideration) - Bradford Barthel LLP. <https://bradfordbarthel.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/20150414ReconsWritsPP.pdf> (<https://bradfordbarthel.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/20150414ReconsWritsPP.pdf>)
37. DWC Homepage (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/>) - California Division of Workers' Compensation.
38. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 41.5 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/41_5.html) - Conflict of Interest - California Department of Industrial Relations. [URL unavailable]
39. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 8, § 41.6 (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/41_6.html) - Procedures After Notice of Conflict of Interest and Waivers - California Department of Industrial Relations.
40. DWC-AD Form 102 (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/eams%20forms/deu/deu102.pdf>) - Request for Summary Rating Determination of Primary Treating Physician Report - California Division of Workers' Compensation.

The California Workers' Compensation QME Process for Unrepresented Injured Workers: A Legal Analysis

(PART-B LEGAL ANALYSIS)

Generated by: Legal AI Assistant

Facilitated by: The Law Offices of Fernando Hidalgo, Inc.

March 1, 2026

The information provided through this AI-powered Analysis is for **general informational and educational purposes only**. It is **not legal advice**, does **not create an attorney-client relationship**, and should not be relied upon as a substitute for advice from a qualified attorney. Laws and legal outcomes vary based on specific facts and jurisdiction. If you need advice tailored to your situation, you should consult directly with an attorney.

(c) 2026 The Law Offices of Fernando Hidalgo, Inc.. Generated by a Legal AI Assistant. Facilitated by The Law Offices of Fernando Hidalgo, Inc.. All rights reserved.

The California Workers' Compensation QME Process for Unrepresented Injured Workers: A Comprehensive Legal Analysis

This report provides an exhaustive examination of the Qualified Medical Evaluator (QME) process as it applies to unrepresented injured workers in California workers' compensation claims. The QME process represents a critical juncture in any contested claim, as the evaluator's medical-legal report often determines whether an injured worker receives benefits, what level of permanent disability compensation is awarded, and whether future medical care will be authorized. For unrepresented workers operating without legal counsel, the QME process presents both significant opportunities and substantial risks. This analysis addresses the statutory framework governing unrepresented workers under Labor Code Section 4062.1, the procedural requirements established in Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, the time-sensitive deadlines that can result in loss of beneficial rights, the strategic considerations for selecting evaluators and preparing for evaluations, and the mechanisms available to challenge or supplement inadequate reports. The report emphasizes that failure to understand and properly navigate the QME process can result in permanent loss of beneficial evidence, reduction of disability benefits, denial of necessary medical treatment, and foreclosure of appellate remedies. Unrepresented workers who receive a QME panel form should seek immediate legal consultation before selecting an evaluator or responding to panel materials, as the decisions made during this process are often irreversible and determinative of the ultimate case outcome.

Legal Framework Governing the QME Process for Unrepresented Workers

Statutory Authority and Regulatory Foundation

The QME process for unrepresented injured workers is governed by [California Labor Code Section 4062.1][1], which establishes a distinct procedure separate from that applied to represented workers under Labor Code Section 4062.2. Labor Code Section 4062.1 applies to any dispute arising from injuries occurring on or after January 1, 2005, and addresses disputes under Labor Code Section 4060 (compensability), Section 4061 (permanent disability determinations), and Section 4062 (other medical treatment and condition disputes). The statutory language requires that when an employee is not represented by an attorney and there is a dispute regarding medical determinations, "the employee shall be provided with a panel of qualified medical evaluators" [1]. This provision creates a statutory right to access medical-legal evaluation but also imposes procedural requirements that unrepresented workers must follow or face loss of rights.

The regulatory framework implementing this statutory authority is contained in Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, Article 3 (Assignment of Qualified Medical Evaluators, Evaluation Procedure). [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 30 through Section 41.7 establish the detailed procedures governing panel requests, selection, scheduling, information exchange, communication restrictions, and reporting requirements][27]. Central to these regulations is [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 31.3, which addresses scheduling of appointments with panel QMEs][8], and [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 35, which governs the exchange of medical and non-medical information to the evaluator][28]. Additionally, [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 36 specifies the service of comprehensive medical-legal evaluation reports][18], [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 38 establishes time frames for report completion][21], and [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 31.5 provides the grounds and procedures for requesting replacement QME panels][48].

The definition of a Qualified Medical Evaluator is established in [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 1(z), which defines a QME as "a physician licensed by the appropriate licensing body for the state of California and appointed by the Administrative Director pursuant to Labor Code section 139.2"] [17]. To qualify as a QME, a physician must pass a rigorous competency examination demonstrating knowledge of California workers' compensation law, medical-legal evaluation procedures, disability rating methodologies using the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment (5th Edition), and report-writing standards. [The QME competency examination is described in detail in the California Department of Industrial Relations' QME Information Booklet, which outlines the tasks, knowledge, and skills required of QMEs][3].

Key Distinctions Between Unrepresented and Represented Worker Procedures

The distinction between Labor Code Section 4062.1 (unrepresented) and Section 4062.2 (represented) processes is not merely procedural-it fundamentally affects the injured worker's ability to influence the evaluator selection and the credibility of the resulting report. [Under Section 4062.1, unrepresented workers receive a panel of three randomly selected QMEs and must select one within ten days, or the claims administrator makes the selection][13]. In contrast, [Section 4062.2 allows represented workers to participate

in a "striking" process where each party eliminates one QME name, leaving one agreed-upon evaluator][13]. This distinction means that unrepresented workers have no mechanism to exclude an evaluator with a known bias or pattern of unfavorable findings, whereas represented workers can strategically strike physicians known to be hostile to their case.

Furthermore, the procedural prerequisites differ significantly. [Under Section 4062.1(b), if an unrepresented worker initiates a QME request, the requesting party need only communicate the objection "in writing" to the other party and allow ten days for agreement on a physician; if no agreement is reached, either party may request the panel][13]. However, [when the claims administrator initiates the request for an unrepresented worker under Section 4062.1, the administrator must simply provide Form 105 to the employee][2]. Critically, [if the unrepresented worker does not request a panel within ten days of being asked to do so by the claims administrator, then the claims administrator has the right to request the panel and choose the medical specialty—a provision that frequently disadvantages injured workers who are unaware of the deadline][2].

Current Legal Landscape and Procedural Requirements

Initial Trigger for QME Panel Request

A QME panel is requested when a dispute arises regarding a medical determination. [The types of disputes that trigger QME eligibility are: (1) disputes regarding whether an injury is work-related under Labor Code Section 4060; (2) disputes regarding permanent disability determination under Section 4061; and (3) other medical determination disputes under Section 4062, such as disputes about medical treatment necessity, Maximum Medical Improvement status, or work restrictions][13]. The claims administrator typically triggers the process by objecting to a medical determination made by the primary treating physician or by denying the claim entirely.

[When the claims administrator requests a QME panel for an unrepresented worker, it must be done using QME Form 105 (Request for Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel - Unrepresented Employee)][1]. [The form must specify the claim number, date of injury, the requesting party, the reason for the panel request (compensability determination, permanent disability dispute, additional body part dispute, or other), the injured worker's information including current residence, and the employer and claims administrator information][1]. [For compensability disputes under Section 4060, the claims administrator must attach either the claims administrator's notice that the claim was denied or a copy of the claims administrator's request for an examination to determine compensability][27]. [For permanent disability disputes under Section 4061 or other disputes under Section 4062, the claims administrator must attach a written objection indicating the identity of the primary treating physician, the date of the physician's report at issue, and a description of the medical determination that requires resolution][27].

Panel Formation and Timing Requirements

[Once the Medical Unit of the Division of Workers' Compensation receives a completed Form 105, it must issue a panel of three QMEs within twenty working days of receipt][2]. [The Medical Unit uses a random selection process to identify three QMEs in the specialty requested by the party with the legal right to select the specialty][20]. [If the specialty is disputed, the Medical Director determines the appropriate specialty][27]. The panel is issued in the form of [QME Form 107 (Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Form)][24], which lists the three QMEs, their addresses, specialties, years in practice, education, and training, and includes a phone number for the injured worker to contact the selected QME's office.

[The panel list includes a deadline date—the injured worker must select a QME and schedule an appointment within ten days of the date the panel was issued by the Medical Unit][11]. This deadline is critical and strictly enforced. [If the unrepresented employee fails to select a QME from the panel or fails to schedule an appointment with the selected QME within ten days, the claims administrator may select a QME from the remaining panel names and schedule the appointment][8]. [The claims administrator, when selecting for an unrepresented worker, typically chooses the physician perceived as most favorable to the employer's position, which in many cases means an orthopedist for musculoskeletal injuries (rather than a chiropractor or physiatrist), or a general practitioner rather than a specialist in pain medicine or occupational medicine][47].

Specialty Selection Considerations

[The three-letter specialty codes available for QME panel requests are enumerated in QME Form 105 and include codes such as MDA (Allergy & Immunology), MDE (Dermatology), MPA (Pain Medicine), MPN (Neurology), MNB (Neurological Surgery - Spine), MOG (Obstetrics & Gynecology), and approximately 50 other specialties][1][1]. The choice of specialty is strategically significant, as the specialty determines the lens through which the evaluator will analyze the injury. For example, requesting an orthopedic surgeon for a lower back injury may result in a focus on structural/mechanical pathology, whereas requesting a physiatrist or pain medicine specialist may broaden the analysis to functional capacity and pain-related impairment.

[The injured worker has the first opportunity to request a preferred specialty if they initiate the panel request][2]. [However, if the claims administrator initiates the request and the injured worker does not request a panel within ten days, the claims administrator chooses the specialty][2]. Given this procedural asymmetry, unrepresented injured workers who receive a Form 105 from the claims administrator should immediately consider whether they wish to request a different specialty and, if so, submit their own Form 105 request with the preferred specialty before the ten-day window closes. Failure to act proactively often results in a less favorable specialty being imposed by the claims administrator.

QME Selection and Appointment Scheduling

[Once the panel list is received, the unrepresented injured worker must contact the QME's office and request an appointment for the comprehensive medical-legal evaluation][11]. [The QME must schedule the appointment without regard to whether payment or reimbursement is promised, and the appointment should typically be scheduled at the QME's medical office identified on the panel selection form][8]. [The QME must schedule the evaluation within ninety days of the date of the injured worker's appointment request; if the selected QME cannot schedule within ninety days, either party may waive the ninety-day requirement and accept an appointment up to 120 days from the initial appointment request][8]. [If the selected QME cannot schedule within 120 days, either party may request a replacement QME panel][8].

[The injured worker must also notify the claims administrator of the QME selection and appointment date within ten days of receiving the panel list][8]. Failure to notify the claims administrator can result in miscommunication about the appointment and potential issues with the exchange of medical records. [The claims administrator should not attempt to persuade or influence the unrepresented worker's selection of a QME, as this would violate the prohibition against ex parte communication][8].

Exchange of Medical Records and Information

The exchange of medical records and information to the QME is governed by [Labor Code Section 4062.3 and 8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 35][28]. The procedures are complex and time-sensitive. [Either party may provide to the QME all records prepared or maintained by the employee's treating physician, other medical records relevant to the dispute, a letter outlining the medical determination being disputed, and non-medical records (such as videos or photographs) relevant to the disputed issues][28].

Critically, [the claims administrator must serve on the injured worker copies of all medical and non-medical records at least twenty days before those records are provided to the QME, and the injured worker has ten days from receipt to object to any non-medical records][28]. [If the injured worker objects to non-medical records, those records cannot be provided to the QME unless ordered by a Workers' Compensation Administrative Law Judge][28]. This provision is important because insurance carriers sometimes attempt to provide surveillance videos, statements from supervisors, or other materials that may prejudice the QME's evaluation. An alert injured worker can prevent prejudicial materials from reaching the evaluator.

[If the unrepresented employee schedules an appointment within twenty days of receiving the panel, the claims administrator is not required to comply with the twenty-day advance service requirement for medical information, provided that the unrepresented worker is served all non-medical information at least twenty days prior to the information being provided to the QME, allowing the worker to object][28]. This creates a strategic consideration: scheduling too quickly may prevent the injured worker from reviewing and objecting to non-medical materials.

Prohibitions on Ex Parte Communication

[Labor Code Section 4062.3(e) and 8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 35(b) establish strict prohibitions on ex parte (one-sided) communications with the QME][28][31]. [All communications with the QME before the

evaluation must be in writing and served on the opposing party simultaneously][28]. [After the evaluation, any subsequent communication must also be in writing and served to the opposing party when sent to the QME][28]. However, [the regulations permit oral or written communications with the QME's staff regarding non-substantive matters such as scheduling appointments, missed appointments, furnishing records, and report availability][28][31].

The distinction between "substantive" communications (which must be in writing and served) and "non-substantive" communications (which may be oral) has generated significant litigation. [In the case of *Martinsen v. WCAB* (discussed in published decisions), the court addressed whether a QME's unilateral communication with the applicant's attorney asking for clarification on whether surveillance video should be reviewed constituted improper ex parte communication][29]. The court found that while the communication was concerning, it did not rise to the level of improper ex parte communication because it was merely seeking clarification on non-substantive scheduling/procedural matters. However, a dissenting commissioner argued that the entire constellation of conduct-including a prior undisclosed call and the failure to disclose the communication to defense counsel-constituted improper ex parte communication that required a replacement QME [29].

The lesson for unrepresented workers is that any oral discussion with the QME about medical issues, your history, or your condition should be avoided before and during the examination. All substantive communications should be made in writing and served on the claims administrator.

Post-Evaluation Report Procedures

[The QME must complete and serve the comprehensive medical-legal report within thirty days of the evaluation][21]. [The report must be served on the injured worker and the claims administrator using either QME Form 111 (QME Findings Summary Form) for unrepresented workers addressing non-permanent disability issues, or specific forms and formats specified in 8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 36][18][18]. [For unrepresented workers where the QME's report addresses permanent impairment, permanent disability, or apportionment, the QME must serve additional documents including the evaluation report with a separator sheet (DWC-CA Form 10232.2), the completed QME Form 111, and a request for summary rating determination form (DWC-AD Form 101) to be sent to the Disability Evaluation Unit][18].

Once the QME report is served, the injured worker has certain rights to respond. [An injured worker or claims administrator may request factual corrections under 8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 37, asking the QME to change incorrect statements of fact (as opposed to medical opinions or conclusions) contained in the report, provided the incorrect facts are "capable of verification from written records submitted to" the QME][18]. [The request for factual correction must be made in writing and served on the opposing party][18].

Additionally, [for unrepresented injured workers, supplemental reports may be requested under specific conditions][18]. [However, after a QME has issued an initial comprehensive report addressing permanent impairment, permanent disability, or apportionment for an unrepresented worker, the QME cannot issue a supplemental report on those issues until after the Disability Evaluation Unit has issued an initial summary rating report][18]. This rule prevents the parties from using supplemental reports to continually re-litigate permanent disability issues before the DEU has had an opportunity to rate the case.

San Francisco-Specific Context and Northern California Implementation

San Francisco Immigration Court and Workers' Compensation System Integration

While this research brief addresses California workers' compensation law rather than immigration law, it is important to note that the firm's Northern California offices serve a significant population of injured workers who may have complex language access needs. The DWC system requires that [all QME evaluations be conducted with state-certified interpreters if needed, and the costs are borne by the claims administrator][36]. Unrepresented workers who speak limited English should explicitly request interpreter services when scheduling QME appointments, noting the language needed on the QME panel selection form.

San Francisco Division of Workers' Compensation Resources

The [DWC operates an Information and Assistance Unit with offices in San Francisco, Oakland, and other Northern California locations that provide free assistance to injured workers][49]. Unrepresented injured workers in the San Francisco Bay Area can contact the San Francisco office at 455 Golden Gate Avenue, 2nd

Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call 1-800-736-7401 to speak with a live representative who can answer questions about the QME process, explain forms, and discuss procedural requirements.

Disability Evaluation Unit Processing in Northern California

The [DWC Disability Evaluation Unit (DEU) processes all permanent disability ratings resulting from QME reports][44]. The DEU applies the Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (PDRS) to convert the QME's whole person impairment rating into a final permanent disability rating adjusted for the injured worker's age, occupation, and diminished future earning capacity at the time of injury [33][33]. Unrepresented injured workers should be aware that even if they disagree with a QME's impairment rating, the DEU will apply the PDRS mechanically, so the initial QME impairment rating is critical to the ultimate benefit amount.

Bay Area IMR and Utilization Review Considerations

For injured workers whose medical treatment has been denied or delayed by the claims administrator through utilization review, the [Independent Medical Review (IMR) process provides an alternative to QME panel disputes for resolving disagreements about medical necessity][34]. The IMR process is separate from the QME process and applies specifically to disputes over whether proposed treatment is medically necessary. However, [recent developments suggest that IMR may be the exclusive remedy for treatment authorization disputes, whereas the QME process addresses causation, permanent disability, and apportionment][34]. Unrepresented injured workers with treatment denials should understand both the IMR and QME options before selecting a strategy.

Strategic Analysis of QME Selection and Preparation

Arguments Favoring Aggressive QME Panel Request by Injured Worker

An unrepresented injured worker who recognizes that a dispute is likely to arise should consider proactively requesting a QME panel rather than waiting for the claims administrator to initiate the process. [The statutory language in Section 4062.1(b) gives "the injured worker" (not exclusively the claims administrator) the right to request a QME panel if no agreement is reached within ten days of an objection][13]. This means an injured worker can initiate the process by sending a written objection to the claims administrator's medical determination and then requesting a panel if the administrator does not agree to use an Agreed Medical Evaluator.

The advantage of worker-initiated requests is twofold: first, the injured worker has the opportunity to select the medical specialty, which often determines the tenor of the evaluation; and second, the injured worker can attempt to identify a QME known for thorough, worker-friendly evaluations. While the DWC uses a random selection process and the injured worker cannot handpick an evaluator, selecting a favorable specialty increases the probability that the resulting panel will include more favorable evaluators.

Arguments Favoring Insurance Company Selection and Procedural Defense

From the claims administrator's perspective, the statutory and regulatory framework provides significant procedural advantages. [If the unrepresented worker fails to request a panel within ten days of the claims administrator's request, the administrator can request the panel and select the specialty][2]. In practice, claims administrators typically select orthopedic surgery for musculoskeletal injuries, general medicine for cardiopulmonary conditions, and other conservative specialties perceived as less likely to recommend aggressive treatment or higher disability ratings. Furthermore, [claims administrators can strategically time the panel request to coincide with procedural deadlines that may disadvantage the injured worker's ability to prepare][23].

However, the regulatory framework also imposes obligations on claims administrators. [They must serve medical records at least twenty days in advance, allow the injured worker to object to non-medical records, and ensure the injured worker has adequate notice of appointments][28]. These procedural safeguards, though modest, provide some protection against abuse.

Risk Assessment: Likelihood of Favorable QME Outcomes Without Legal Representation

The likelihood of obtaining a favorable QME outcome without legal representation is moderate to low, depending on several factors. First, the injured worker's ability to articulate their condition during the

evaluation is critical. [QMEs are trained to assess credibility, consistency, and the relationship between objective medical findings and subjective complaints][3]. Unrepresented injured workers often understate their symptoms or fail to clearly communicate functional limitations, resulting in lower impairment ratings than the evidence supports.

Second, the quality and completeness of medical records provided to the QME significantly affects the report. [If the QME does not receive complete treating physician records, imaging studies, or prior evaluations, the report may be based on incomplete information][56]. Claims administrators sometimes "forget" to provide unfavorable medical records to the QME, and without legal representation, the injured worker may not notice the omission until after the report is issued.

Third, the injured worker's choice of specialty and evaluator, while not entirely under their control due to the randomization process, significantly affects outcomes. [An orthopedic surgeon and a chiropractor frequently reach different conclusions about the same back injury][47]. Similarly, [a physiatrist trained in functional medicine and pain management may rate impairment differently than a general practitioner][47]. An injured worker unfamiliar with these differences may randomly select an evaluator without understanding the implications.

Best-case scenario: The injured worker selects a favorable specialty, the QME identifies clear objective findings supporting a higher impairment rating, the injured worker articulates their condition clearly during the evaluation, and the resulting report supports the worker's position. This scenario typically occurs in cases with obvious, objective pathology (e.g., surgical fusion, amputation, or clear nerve damage) and occurs with moderate frequency.

Worst-case scenario: The claims administrator selects an unfavorable specialty, the injured worker fails to schedule the appointment or misses the evaluation, the QME conducts a cursory examination and issues a report based on incomplete records, and the findings form the basis for a permanent disability award that undercompensates the worker by 20-40%. This scenario is common in cases with subjective complaints (pain, fatigue, cognitive impairment) and in cases where the injured worker is disorganized or fails to understand the importance of the evaluation.

Practical Implementation and Preparation for QME Evaluation

Step-by-Step Timeline for QME Process from Notice to Report Issuance

Day 1: Receipt of QME Form 105 or Initial Dispute. The injured worker receives notice from the claims administrator that a dispute exists and that a QME panel will be requested, or the injured worker decides to initiate the process by objecting to a medical determination.

Days 1-10: Injured Worker Decision Period. If the claims administrator has initiated the request, the injured worker has ten days to decide whether to request their own panel with a different specialty. If the injured worker wishes to request a panel with a preferred specialty, they must complete and submit QME Form 105 to the DWC Medical Unit before the ten-day window closes. Failure to act results in the claims administrator selecting the specialty.

Days 11-20 (or 11-30 if no worker response): Medical Unit Processing. The DWC Medical Unit receives the panel request and issues a panel of three QMEs within twenty working days.

Days 21-30 (from panel issuance): QME Selection and Appointment Scheduling. The injured worker must select one of the three QMEs and schedule an appointment within ten days of the panel issuance date. The injured worker must also notify the claims administrator of the selection and appointment date.

Days 20+ (from appointment notice): Medical Record Exchange Period. The claims administrator must serve copies of all medical and non-medical records at least twenty days before providing them to the QME. The injured worker has ten days from receipt to object to non-medical records.

Days 50-90: Appointment Scheduling Buffer. The QME must schedule the evaluation appointment within ninety days of the initial appointment request. This provides some scheduling flexibility.

Day of Appointment: QME Evaluation. The injured worker attends the comprehensive medical-legal evaluation at the QME's office. The evaluation typically lasts 1-3 hours, depending on the complexity of the case.

Days 1-30 (after evaluation): QME Report Preparation. The QME must complete and serve the report within thirty days of the evaluation. Reports are served on the injured worker and claims administrator.

Days 1-10 (after report service): Injured Worker Response Options. The injured worker may request factual corrections, request a supplemental report, or prepare for settlement negotiations or further proceedings.

Evidence Gathering and Medical Record Preparation

[Before the QME evaluation, the injured worker should compile all relevant medical records, including treatment records from the primary treating physician, records from specialists, imaging studies (MRI, CT scans, X-rays), laboratory results, physical therapy records, and any prior evaluations or reports][56]. [The QME is required to review all available records, and the comprehensiveness of the record affects the quality of the evaluation][56].

Injured workers should organize records in chronological order and create a summary document highlighting the key findings, diagnostic test results, and functional limitations over time. This summary should not argue the legal case but should present a clear chronological narrative of the medical condition and its progression. For example: "Initial injury September 2024 with back pain and right leg numbness. MRI in October 2024 showed L4-L5 herniated disc. Treatment with physical therapy for three months with minimal improvement. Referral to spine surgeon in January 2025. Recommendations for surgical consultation."

Injured workers should also gather documentation of functional limitations in activities of daily living. [The QME evaluates not only medical findings but also the impact on the injured worker's ability to perform work and non-work activities][3]. Examples include documentation of difficulty walking, standing, lifting, reaching, sitting, sleeping, or performing household tasks. A detailed journal noting daily limitations in the weeks before the QME evaluation can provide powerful evidence of functional impairment.

QME Appointment Preparation and Examination Strategy

The injured worker should prepare a detailed written summary of their medical history to bring to the QME appointment. This summary should include the date and circumstances of the workplace injury, immediate symptoms, medical treatment sought, diagnoses provided by treating physicians, medications prescribed, surgical procedures, and current functional status. The summary should be organized chronologically and should clearly separate industrial (work-related) injuries from non-industrial pre-existing conditions.

During the appointment, the injured worker should be honest, specific, and consistent in their responses to the QME's questions. [QMEs are trained to assess credibility, and any inconsistencies between what the worker says at the appointment and what is documented in medical records will be noted in the report][51]. The injured worker should not minimize symptoms in an attempt to appear "tough" or deny limitations they actually experience. Conversely, the injured worker should not exaggerate symptoms or claim limitations not supported by medical evidence.

When the QME asks about functional limitations, the injured worker should provide specific examples. For example, rather than saying "my back hurts," the injured worker should say "I cannot sit for more than 20 minutes without experiencing sharp pain down my right leg, and I cannot lift anything heavier than 10 pounds without exacerbating the pain for several days." [Objective medical findings, combined with clear functional descriptions, carry more weight than purely subjective complaints of pain][3][19].

The injured worker should also ask questions during the examination and seek clarification if something is unclear. [The regulations explicitly state that the injured worker is entitled to ask the evaluator questions about the evaluation process][19]. If the evaluator is not conducting a thorough examination (e.g., not testing range of motion when appropriate, not reviewing key medical records), the injured worker should note this for potential later challenge of the report.

Document Organization and Medical Record Indexing

Professional organization of medical records can subtly influence the QME's evaluation. Records should be presented in reverse chronological order (most recent first) and should be indexed with page numbers and a table of contents. Duplicate records should be removed. Key documents should be flagged with tabs or highlights so that critical diagnostic findings and functional limitations are readily apparent.

For injured workers with complex medical histories, creating a timeline document that lists key dates, diagnoses, treatments, and test results can help the QME quickly understand the progression of the condition. For example:

09/15/2024: Workplace injury (lifting heavy box)

09/15/2024: Initial treatment at urgent care, diagnosed with acute lumbar strain

10/03/2024: MRI of lumbar spine showing L4-L5 herniated disc

10/20/2024: Initial physical therapy evaluation

01/15/2025: Referral to spine surgeon

02/10/2025: Surgeon's recommendation for microdiscectomy

This timeline makes it easy for the QME to understand the case without manually searching through records.

Cost, Timeline, and Processing Considerations

[The costs of the QME evaluation, including the physician's fee, interpreter services, and medical records copies, are borne by the claims administrator and are not the responsibility of the injured worker][36][38]. The injured worker should not be asked to pay for the evaluation or to pay in advance for appointment attendance.

[The timeline for the entire QME process, from panel request to report service, typically requires 60-90 days, assuming the injured worker acts promptly in selecting an evaluator and scheduling an appointment][8]. However, delays in scheduling (particularly for specialties with high demand or low availability) can extend the timeline to 4-6 months.

Challenging and Supplementing Inadequate QME Reports

Grounds for Requesting Factual Corrections

If the QME report contains factual errors—such as misstating the date of injury, misquoting the injured worker's job duties, or incorrectly summarizing medical records—the injured worker may request a factual correction under [8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 37][18]. [The request must identify specific factual assertions that are incorrect and must be "capable of verification from written records submitted to" the QME][18]. The request must be made in writing and served on the claims administrator.

Examples of correctable factual errors include: the QME states the worker is "a 25-year-old laborer" when the worker is actually 55; the QME states the worker "did not undergo physical therapy" when the medical records clearly show three months of physical therapy; or the QME states "the MRI showed no abnormalities" when the MRI report documents a herniated disc.

However, factual corrections cannot address medical conclusions or opinions. If the QME's impairment rating is based on a flawed analysis of the AMA Guides or a misinterpretation of objective findings, a factual correction is insufficient. In that situation, supplemental report or petition for reconsideration procedures must be used.

Supplemental Report Procedure and Timing

[An injured worker may request a supplemental report from the QME if additional medical information becomes available after the initial evaluation][21]. [The supplemental report must be requested in writing and served on the claims administrator][21]. [The QME has sixty days to complete the supplemental report, and this time frame may be extended by an additional thirty days if the parties agree][21]. [For supplemental reports, a face-to-face reexamination is not required if the QME believes a review of the additional records is sufficient][27].

Supplemental reports are useful when treating physicians issue new reports that address medical issues not available at the time of the initial QME evaluation, or when new diagnostic testing (e.g., EMG study, updated MRI) is completed after the QME appointment.

However, [for unrepresented injured workers, supplemental reports on permanent impairment, permanent disability, or apportionment cannot be requested until after the Disability Evaluation Unit has issued an initial summary rating report][18]. This restriction is designed to prevent continuous re-litigation of permanent disability issues.

Deposition of QME and Cross-Examination Strategy

While unrepresented injured workers cannot directly depose a QME (depositions require attorney involvement), they may encounter the QME in testimony before a Workers' Compensation Administrative Law Judge if the case proceeds to hearing. At that point, the injured worker will have the right to cross-examine the QME about the basis for opinions, the completeness of the medical record review, and any inconsistencies between the report and the evidence.

An injured worker preparing for QME cross-examination should review the report carefully, identify weak points or unsupported conclusions, and prepare specific questions that expose those weaknesses. For example, if the QME states that the injured worker can perform "sedentary work" but the injured worker's treating physician clearly documented that the worker cannot sit for more than 20 minutes, a cross-examination question might be: "Doctor, did you review the physical therapist's notes from February 2025 which state the patient 'cannot tolerate sitting longer than 20 minutes without increased symptoms'?" and "If that is correct, how did you determine the patient could perform sedentary work, which typically requires 6+ hours of sitting per workday?"

Petition for Reconsideration of QME Findings

If the QME report is inadequate or flawed, an injured worker may petition the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board for reconsideration of the QME findings [Labor Code Section 5900, et seq.][68]. However, this requires initiating a formal hearing before a Workers' Compensation Administrative Law Judge and is typically available only if a formal dispute has been filed with the Appeals Board.

[The grounds for reconsideration include: (1) the Appeals Board acted without or in excess of its powers; (2) the order was procured by fraud; (3) the evidence does not justify the findings of fact; and (4) newly discovered evidence material to the decision was discovered that could not have been discovered with reasonable diligence][68]. To challenge a QME report on the ground that "the evidence does not justify the findings," the injured worker must specifically identify how the evidence in the record contradicts or fails to support the QME's conclusions.

Alternative Strategies and Contingency Planning

Option A: Agreed Medical Evaluator (AME) as Alternative to QME Panel

While AMEs are typically used only in represented cases, [an unrepresented injured worker could propose to the claims administrator that they mutually agree on an Agreed Medical Evaluator rather than using a QME panel][9]. An AME is a physician that both parties agree to use, and the AME report is often viewed as more persuasive by judges because it reflects a consensus between the parties rather than a contested adversarial process.

The advantage of an AME is that both parties have input into selecting the evaluator, potentially resulting in a more credible report that both sides can rely on. The disadvantage is that the claims administrator must agree, and most claims administrators prefer QME panels because they retain control over the specialty selection in cases where the injured worker fails to act.

Option B: Retaining Separate Medical Representation

An injured worker who recognizes they are overwhelmed by the QME process may seek immediate legal representation, even at a partial consultation level. Many attorneys will provide a brief consultation at no charge, during which they can advise the injured worker about specialty selection, help prepare medical

records, and review the QME report once issued. Some attorneys work on a contingency basis (paid from a portion of benefits obtained), while others charge hourly rates.

[If an unrepresented worker subsequently retains an attorney after a QME panel has been issued but before the evaluation, the attorney can request a replacement panel under the holding in *Romero v. Costco Wholesale*][26]. This allows the attorney to select a different (and often more favorable) specialty suited to the case. However, if the evaluation has already occurred, requesting a replacement panel becomes much more difficult.

Option C: Requesting an Independent Medical Review Instead

For injured workers whose dispute involves whether a particular medical treatment is necessary (rather than causation or permanent disability), the [Independent Medical Review (IMR) process may be more appropriate than a QME panel][34]. The IMR process is separate from the QME process and is designed specifically to resolve disputes about medical necessity. An injured worker with a treatment denial should consider whether IMR or QME is the better remedy for their specific dispute.

Option D: Pursuing State-Level Protections and Vocational Rehabilitation

Injured workers with permanent partial disability are eligible for [Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits (SJDB), which provides a voucher that can be used for educational retraining, vocational counseling, or professional licensing examination fees][67][70]. SJDB is a separate benefit from workers' compensation disability payments and may provide additional income stability if the injured worker is unable to return to their prior occupation.

Additionally, some injured workers may qualify for vocational rehabilitation services, which provide job retraining and placement assistance. These services are coordinated through the claims administrator and may provide an alternative income path if the permanent disability award is insufficient to cover lost earning capacity.

Irreversible Consequences and Risk Warnings for Unrepresented Workers

Missed Deadlines and Loss of Beneficial Rights

The QME process contains numerous hard deadlines, and missing any of them can result in permanent loss of beneficial rights. [If the unrepresented injured worker fails to select a QME from the panel within ten days of issuance, the claims administrator selects an evaluator, typically choosing a less favorable one][8]. This selection cannot be undone; the injured worker cannot request a replacement panel simply because they missed the deadline.

Similarly, [if the injured worker fails to notify the claims administrator of the QME selection and appointment date within ten days, the appointment can proceed without the injured worker's knowledge, and the appointment date set by the claims administrator may be inconvenient or prejudicial][8]. The injured worker cannot later claim the appointment was improper due to lack of notice if proper notice was mailed but not received by an inattentive worker.

Irreversible Effect of QME Report as Binding Decision-Maker

While a QME report is technically "evidence" rather than a "binding decision," in practice, most cases settle or are decided based on the QME's impairment rating and medical findings. [An unrepresented injured worker who fails to present a strong case to the QME (through poor communication, incomplete medical records, or inadequate preparation) may find that the resulting unfavorable report becomes the "accepted" medical fact in the case][56]. Even if the injured worker later obtains an attorney and argues that the QME report is flawed, overcoming the report's presumptive weight through cross-examination or supplemental evidence is difficult and costly.

Collateral Consequences: Impact on Other Benefits and Claims

An unfavorable QME report determining that an injury is non-industrial (not work-related) can have collateral consequences beyond the workers' compensation system. If the injured worker has filed for Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) or State Disability Insurance (SDI), an unfavorable QME report may undermine those claims. Similarly, if the injured worker's employer contends the worker is unable to perform job duties

and seeks to terminate employment, an unfavorable QME report supporting the employer's position may be used against the worker in an employment dispute.

Settlement Risks: Settling Based on Inadequate QME Report

Many unrepresented injured workers settle their cases based on a single, potentially inadequate QME report without understanding the long-term consequences. [If the injured worker enters into a Compromise & Release (C&R) settlement based on an unfavorable QME report, the settlement is final and cannot be reopened even if the worker's condition deteriorates][58][59]. In contrast, [if the injured worker settles on a Stipulated Award basis, future medical care remains open for life, and the case can be reopened within five years if the condition worsens][58][64].

An unrepresented injured worker should not settle their case without, at minimum, consulting briefly with an attorney about whether a C&R or Stipulated Award is more appropriate and whether the settlement amount reflects the true value of the case.

Ethical and Professional Conduct Considerations

Injured Worker Responsibilities and Duties

While unrepresented injured workers are not held to attorney standards of conduct, they remain responsible for fulfilling their procedural obligations. These include responding to notices from the DWC and claims administrator, meeting deadlines for QME selection and scheduling, and providing accurate and complete information during the QME evaluation.

An injured worker who provides false information to the QME (such as denying prior injuries that actually occurred, or exaggerating current symptoms beyond what medical records support) may face consequences if the misrepresentation is discovered. The QME report may be challenged as unreliable, or the injured worker's credibility may be permanently damaged in subsequent proceedings.

Claims Administrator Obligations

The claims administrator has specific obligations regarding the QME process, including timely service of medical records, compliance with notice requirements, and abstinence from ex parte communications. If a claims administrator violates these obligations (for example, by failing to serve medical records as required or by attempting to persuade the injured worker to select a particular QME), the injured worker may be entitled to a replacement QME panel or other relief.

Comprehensive References and Resources

The following sections provide complete legal citations and practical resources for injured workers and practitioners seeking additional information about the QME process.

Statutes and Regulations

[California Labor Code Section 139.2 - Authority, appointment, and duties of QMEs][36]

[California Labor Code Section 4060 - Compensability disputes][25]

[California Labor Code Section 4061 - Permanent disability disputes][7]

[California Labor Code Section 4062 - Other medical determination disputes][25]

[California Labor Code Section 4062.1 - QME process for unrepresented workers][13][13]

[California Labor Code Section 4062.2 - QME process for represented workers][26]

[California Labor Code Section 4062.3 - Communication protocols and ex parte restrictions][31]

[California Code of Regulations Section 1 - Definitions (including definition of QME)][17]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 30 - QME Panel Requests][27]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 31.3 - Scheduling appointments with panel QMEs][8][8][8]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 31.5 - QME Replacement Requests][48]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 35 - Exchange of Information and Ex Parte Communications][28][28]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 36 - Service of Comprehensive Medical-Legal Evaluation Reports][18][18]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 38 - Medical Evaluation Time Frames][21]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 41.5 - Conflict of Interest][62]

[8 Cal. Code Regs. Section 41.6 - Procedures After Notice of Conflict of Interest and Waivers][65]

Forms

[QME Form 105 - Request for Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel (Unrepresented Employee)][1][1]

[QME Form 105 Instructions - How to Request a Qualified Medical Evaluator if You Don't Have an Attorney][2][2]

[QME Form 107 - Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Form][20][24][20]

[QME Form 110 - Appointment Notification Form][2]

[QME Form 111 - QME Findings Summary Form][18]

[QME Form 122 - AME or QME Declaration of Service of Medical-Legal Report Form][18]

[DWC-AD Form 102 (DEU) - Request for Summary Rating Determination of Primary Treating Physician Report][41]

Permanent Disability Rating Schedule

[Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (2004 version)][33][33]

Conclusions and Summary Recommendations

The California QME process for unrepresented injured workers is a critical juncture that determines the outcome of most workers' compensation claims. While the process is designed to provide neutral medical-legal evaluation of disputed issues, the procedure contains numerous procedural pitfalls, strict deadlines, and strategic considerations that disproportionately disadvantage unrepresented workers.

For unrepresented injured workers, the most important steps are: (1) immediately understand that a dispute exists and a QME panel will be or has been requested; (2) if the claims administrator has initiated the request, decide within ten days whether to request a preferred specialty; (3) select a favorable QME specialty based on the nature of the injury; (4) gather complete medical records and organize them chronologically; (5) prepare thoroughly for the QME evaluation, including documenting functional limitations; (6) avoid missing any procedural deadlines; and (7) obtain at least a brief legal consultation before settling the case based on the QME report.

The greatest risks are settlement of the case based on an inadequate QME report without legal review, missing critical deadlines that result in the claims administrator selecting an unfavorable evaluator, and failing to prepare adequately for the evaluation, resulting in an incomplete or inaccurate medical record.

Unrepresented workers who encounter a QME Form 105 or receive notice of a QME panel should immediately contact an attorney, even for a brief consultation, to ensure their rights are protected and the QME process proceeds with proper understanding of the implications.

References

[1] Request for Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Form 105 (PDF) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105.pdf>)

[2] QME Form 105 Instructions - How to Request a Qualified Medical Evaluator if You Don't Have an Attorney (PDF) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105-instructions.pdf>)

- [3] QME Competency Examination Information Booklet (PDF)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/qmeinformatiobooklet.pdf>)
- [4] DWC Qualified Medical Evaluator (QME) Process
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/MedicalUnit/QualificationForQME.html>)
- [5] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 105 - The Request for Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel - Unrepresented Form (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/105.html>)
- [6] Hanning & Sacchetto LLP - Navigating the California Qualified Medical Evaluator Process
(<https://www.hanningsacchetto.com/blog-post/navigating-the-california-qualified-medical-evaluator-process-what-injured-california-workers-need-to-know/>)
- [7] Employees First Labor Law - Labor Code Section 4061: Permanent Disability Disputes Workers' Comp
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74061-permanent-disability-disputes-workers-comp/>)
- [8] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 31.3 - Scheduling Appointment with Panel QME
(https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html)
- [9] Employees First Labor Law - QME vs. AME in California Workers' Comp: What's the Difference?
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/qme-vs-ame-in-california-workers-comp-whats-the-difference/>)
- [10] Sullivan On Comp Blog - Request for a Medical Evaluation (Romero and Panel QME Procedures)
(<https://www.sullivanoncomp.com/blog/topic/request-for-a-medical-evaluation>)
- [11] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 108 - The Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Instruction Form (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/108.html>)
- [12] MDpanel Blog - California IME, AME, QME, PQME. What's the Difference?
(<https://www.mdpanel.com/2025/12/blog/california-ime-ame-qme-pqme-whats-the-difference/>)
- [13] Employees First Labor Law - Labor Code Section 4062.1: Panel QME Process Unrepresented Workers
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74062-1-panel-qme-process-unrepresented-workers/>)
- [14] Cornell Law School - California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Article 3 - Assignment of Qualified Medical Evaluators (<https://www.law.cornell.edu/regulations/california/title-8/division-1/chapter-1/article-3>)
- [15] Visionary Law Group - Understanding QME & IMR in California Workers' Comp: A Guide
(<https://visionarylawgroup.com/what-is-qme-workers-comp/>)
- [10] Sullivan On Comp Blog - Request for a Replacement Panel Pursuant to Romero
(<https://www.sullivanoncomp.com/blog/topic/request-for-a-medical-evaluation>)
- [16] California Occupational Health and Safety Administration (Cal/OSHA) - QME Study Guide (PDF)
(<https://coa.org/docs/distancelearningcourse/rw/QMEStudyGuide.pdf>)
- [17] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 1 - Definitions (including QME)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/1.html>)
- [18] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 36 - Service of Comprehensive Medical-Legal Evaluation Reports (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>)
- [8] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 31.3 - Scheduling Appointment with Panel QME
(https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html) (Duplicate reference)
- [1] Request for Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Form 105 (PDF)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105.pdf>) (Duplicate reference)
- [19] DWC Quality Assurance Checklist - Section 40 Disclosure Requirements (DOCX)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/QUALITY-ASSURANCE-CHECKLIST.docx>)
- [20] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 107 - The Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Form (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/107.html>)

- [2] QME Form 105 Instructions (PDF - Duplicate)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform105-instructions.pdf>) (Duplicate reference)
- [13] Employees First Labor Law - Labor Code Section 4062.1: Panel QME Process (Duplicate)
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/labor-code-%C2%A74062-1-panel-qme-process-unrepresented-workers/>)
(Duplicate reference)
- [18] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 36 - Service of Comprehensive Medical-Legal Reports
(Duplicate) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/36.html>) (Duplicate reference)
- [21] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 38 - Medical Evaluation Time Frames; Extensions for QMEs and AMEs (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/38.html>)
- [3] QME Competency Examination Information Booklet (PDF - Duplicate)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/qmeinformationbooklet.pdf>) (Duplicate reference)
- [22] Employees First Labor Law - How to Dispute a Biased QME or AME Report in California Workers' Comp (<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-to-dispute-a-biased-qme-or-ame-report-in-california-workers-comp/>)
- [23] Timeliness of Reporting - QME Panel Replacement and Supplemental Reports (PDF)
(<https://irstore.blob.core.windows.net/materials/f74f5f04-7aec-4cd8-a2f1-c3343656e650.pdf>)
- [24] Qualified Medical Evaluator Panel Selection Form 107 (PDF)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/qmeforms/qmeform107.pdf>)
- [25] PI Law - What Is A QME In California Workers' Compensation? (<https://www.pi.law/blog/what-is-a-qme-in-california-workers-compensation-and-how-it-can-make-or-break-your-case/>)
- [26] Sullivan On Comp Blog - Request for Replacement Panel Pursuant to Romero (Duplicate)
(<https://www.sullivanattorneys.com/blog/request-replacement-panel-pursuant-romero>)
- [20] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 107 - QME Panel Selection Form (Duplicate)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/107.html>) (Duplicate reference)
- [27] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 30 - QME Panel Requests
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/30.html>)
- [8] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 31.3 (Duplicate) (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_3.html)
(Duplicate reference)
- [28] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 35 - Exchange of Information and Ex Parte Communications (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>)
- [29] Siegel Moreno LLP - What Constitutes Improper Ex Parte Communication with a Medical-Legal Evaluator (<https://siegelmoreno.com/what-constitutes-improper-ex-parte-communication-with-a-medical-legal-evaluator/>)
- [30] Employees First Labor Law - Whole Person Impairment (WPI) California Workers' Comp (2026)
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/whole-person-impairment-wpi-california-workers-comp-2026/>)
- [31] RJY Law - Labor Code Section 4062.3: Information vs. Communication (<https://www.rjylaw.com/labor-code-section-4062-3-information-vs-communication/>)
- [32] WorkCompCentral - Ex Parte Communication Disqualifies Medical Examiner
(<https://ww3.workcompcentral.com/columns/show/id/c9d1d29efcdcc7f37b8ba1f24e2bb2b4j>)
- [33] DWC Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (PDF) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/pdr.pdf>)
- [34] DWC Independent Medical Review (IMR) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/imr.htm>)
- [35] LAC Accident Pros - Demystifying Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI)
(<https://lacaccidentpros.org/what-how-maximum-medical-improvement-mmi/>)

- [36] DWC FAQs on QMEs for Physicians (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/medicalunit/faqphys.html>)
- [37] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 9795 - Reasonable Level of Fees for Medical-Legal Expenses (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/9795.html>)
- [4] California Workers' Compensation Institute - Definitions (9793) (<https://www.cwci.org/document.php?file=2298.pdf>)
- [38] CWCI - Utilization Review and Independent Medical Review Regulations (<https://cwci.org/document.php?file=2301.pdf>)
- [39] The Impact of Pre-Existing Conditions on Workers' Compensation Claims (<https://www.plblaw.com/the-impact-of-pre-existing-conditions-on-workers-compensation-claims-2/>)
- [40] DWC Disability Evaluation Unit (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/deu.html>)
- [41] Request for Summary Rating Determination of Primary Treating Physician Report (DWC-AD Form 102) (PDF) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/forms/eams%20forms/deu/deu102.pdf>)
- [42] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 9793 - Definitions (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/9793.html>)
- [43] Invictus Law - Pre-Existing Conditions in California Workers' Compensation Claims (<https://www.invictuslawpc.com/resources/pre-existing-conditions-in-ca-workers-comp/>)
- [44] DWC Disability Evaluation Unit (Duplicate) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/deu.html>) (Duplicate reference)
- [26] Sullivan On Comp - Request for Replacement Panel Pursuant to Romero (Duplicate) (<https://www.sullivanattorneys.com/blog/request-replacement-panel-pursuant-romero>) (Duplicate reference)
- [45] RJY Law - A Deep Dive into California Code of Regulations Section 31.5 (<https://www.rjylaw.com/mastering-qme-panel-replacements-a-deep-dive-into-california-code-of-regulations-section-31-5/>)
- [46] DWC Homepage (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/>)
- [47] California Applicant Attorneys Association - A Recommended Approach to Romero, LC 4062.1 and 4062.2 (<https://calawyers.org/workers-compensation/a-recommended-approach-to-romero-lc-4062-1-and-4062-2/>)
- [48] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 31.5 - QME Replacement Requests (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/31_5.html)
- [49] DWC Information and Assistance Unit (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/ianda.html>)
- [50] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 46.3 - Remote Health Medical-Legal Evaluations (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/46_3.html)
- [51] Sound Medical Evaluation - Preparing for Cross-Examination: QME Report Defense Tips (<https://www.soundmedeval.com/blog/qme-cross-examination-report-defense/>)
- [52] Wells Law - Work Comp Injury | Mistakes to Avoid (<https://www.wellswellslaw.com/index.cfm?action=work-comp-mistakes-to-avoid>)
- [53] DaisyBill Blog - Med-Legal Emergency Regs Extended (<https://blog.daisybill.com/med-legal-emergency-regs>)
- [54] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 10114.1 - Evidence; Examination of Witnesses (https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/10114_1.html)
- [55] YouTube - Top 3 Mistakes Injured Workers Make in California (Video) (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q3x-lb7JpIM>)
- [56] Katnik Law - The Role of Medical Evidence in Workers' Compensation Cases (<https://katniklaw.com/the-role-of-medical-evidence-in-workers-compensation-cases/>)

- [57] Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (1997 version - PDF)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/pdr1997.pdf>)
- [58] Employees First Labor Law - How Do I Settle My Workers' Comp Case? C&R & Stipulated Award
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/how-do-i-settle-my-workers-comp-case-cr-vs-stipulated-award/>)
- [28] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 35 (Duplicate) (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/35.html>)
(Duplicate reference)
- [33] Schedule for Rating Permanent Disabilities (Current Version - PDF)
(<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/pdr.pdf>) (Duplicate reference)
- [59] DWC - How is My Case Resolved (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/CaseResolved.htm>)
- [60] DaisyBill - CA Med-Legal: Do Not Review Records Without Proper Declaration
(<https://blog.daisybill.com/ca-med-legal-records-declaration>)
- [61] Diefer Law Group - California Workers' Compensation 5-Year Rule Explained 2026
(<https://dieferlaw.com/blog/california-workers-compensation-5-year-rule-explained-2026>)
- [62] OrthoLegal Group - What is a Conflict of Interest for a QME in California
(<https://ortholegalgroup.com/what-is-a-conflict-of-interest-for-a-qme-in-california/>)
- [63] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 65 - Sanction Guidelines for Qualified Medical Evaluators (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/65.html>)
- [64] Robert Wood Law - Can a Workers' Comp Case Be Reopened? (<https://robertwoodlaw.com/can-a-workers-comp-case-be-reopened/>)
- [65] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 41.6 - Procedures After Notice of Conflict of Interest
(https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/41_6.html)
- [66] California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 9767.5 - Access Standards (MPN Requirements)
(https://www.dir.ca.gov/t8/9767_5.html)
- [67] State Fund - Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits (SJDB) (<https://www.statefundca.com/injured-worker/retraining-benefit/>)
- [68] Bradford Barthel LLP - Recons & Writs (Petition for Reconsideration) (PDF)
(https://bradfordbarthel.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/20150414_Recons__Writs_PP.pdf)
- [69] Employees First Labor Law - Primary Treating Physician: An Overview & Changing Doctors
(<https://employeesfirstlaborlaw.com/primary-treating-physician-an-overview-changing-doctors/>)
- [70] DWC Supplemental Job Displacement Benefits (<https://www.dir.ca.gov/dwc/sjdb.html>)
- [71] Workers' Compensation Appeals Board (WCAB) - Petitions Where to File
(https://www.dir.ca.gov/wcab/wcab_petitionforreconsideration.htm)